

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [A303 Stonehenge](#)  
**Subject:** Invited Comments to Stonehenge Road Scheme  
**Date:** 09 March 2022 12:12:04

---

David C Ramzan

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I am emailing in regard to The Secretary of State for Transport's action relating to the Stonehenge road scheme, and a request to National Highways to respond with questions to find out more about alternatives, policy, carbon, environmental information, as well as other concerns.

Although I am not a frequent user of the A303, Stonehenge is a place I have passed by, at a distance, many times during the past forty-five, an iconic feature on the landscape, which over the years has seen too much disruption due to the ongoing site development. I believe it is important that The Secretary of State hears from the wider public about our concerns.

I have read through the subsequent National Highways report and have some concerns relating to this lengthy response document and lack of information in regard to previous issues raised.

National Highways has not made any changes to take the 2021 World Heritage Committee decision into account, or acknowledged the significantly adverse impact a western cutting would have, as requested by the Secretary of State for Transport.

The report does not fully assess less damaging routes and whether they would be more cost effective, or assess if a longer tunnel below the site would be much more beneficial to safeguard this World Heritage Site.

Recent concerns about climate change has meant urgent action is required to reduce emissions and the new road scheme is more likely to increase them.

There has been no real investigation into alternative solutions to traffic, emissions or a means to improve access into the Southwest.

I do hope The Secretary of State will address our ongoing concerns about the impact the road scheme will have upon the Stonehenge World Heritage Site.

Yours Sincerely.

David C Ramzan